

06/07/95

FILING DATE



22M2FIRST/NAMED INVENTOR

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office 0678. 1US01

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address: Washington, D.C. 20231

08/488,195

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. LAUFER.

MERCHANT GOULD SMITH EDELL WELTER AND SCHMIDT T3100 NORWEST CENTER 90 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402-4131

APPLICATION NO.

EXAMINER 07/25/97 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER **DATE MAILED:**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Advisory Action

Application No.

Applicant(s)

08/488,195

Joel A. Ronning

Examiner 70 3 306 4/60
Pinchus M. Laufer

Group Art Unit 2202



ТН	E PERI	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) 🗌	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	ь) 🛛	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date on determi	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appell period	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Apr 17, 1997</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:		
X	The proposed amendment(s):	
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
☑ will not be entered because:		
	★ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NO.	TE: The amendatory language in each of the independent claims further narrows the claims, thereby requiring
		further analysis and search. (For example, "an image driver configured to prevent")
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The 112-2nd paragraph rejections of the previous action would be overcome by the proposed amendatory language.		
		r proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
		ffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because:
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
X	Claim	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): s allowed: c objected to:
		s rejected: 1-27
	The p	roposed drawing correction filed on has not been approved by the Examiner.
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
	Other	THOMAS H. TARCZA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 2200